

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/734,176	12/12/2000	Takumi Mikawa	0819-466	5101	
75	90 08/14/2002				
Eric J. Robinson			EXAMINER		
Nixon Peabody Suite 800			LOKE, STEV	LOKE, STEVEN HO YIN	
8180 Greensboro Drive McLean, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2811		
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summan	09/734,176	MIKAWA ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven Loke	2811			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period f r Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u> .	June 2002 .				
	nis action is non-final.				
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allows	* * ·	prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-5 is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2, 4, 5.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

,,

Application/Control Number: 09/734,176

Art Unit: 2811

\*5

1. Claims 3-5 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 7.

- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-2 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The written description never discloses why the upper electrode contains Ir and the metal oxide film is made of an oxide of Ta as claimed in claim 2.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jung in view of Prior art (fig. 5).

In regards to claim 1, Jung discloses a semiconductor device in fig. 2G. It comprises: a lower electrode [110] formed on a substrate [100]; a capacitive insulating film [112] formed out of a ferroelectric film on the lower electrode; an upper electrode



Art Unit: 2811

[114] formed on the capacitive insulating film [112]; a contact layer [116] formed on the upper electrode; an insulating film [118] formed to cover the lower electrode [110], the capacitive insulating film [112], the upper electrode [114] and the contact layer [116]; a contact hole passing through the insulating film [118] and the contact layer [116] to reach the upper electrode [114]; and a metal interconnect [126], which is defined on a part of the insulating film [118], formed in the contact hole and connected to the upper electrode [114], wherein the contact layer [116] is a single-layer film being made of a metal oxide (PbTiO<sub>3</sub>).

Jung differs from the claimed invention by not showing a metal layer filled in the contact hole and connected to the upper electrode.

Prior art (fig. 5) shows a metal layer [17B] filled in the contact hole and connected to the upper electrode [13].

Since both Jung and Prior art (fig. 5) teach a metal layer formed in the contact hole and connected to an upper electrode of a capacitor, it would have been obvious to have the metal layer of Prior art (fig. 5) in Jung because it improves the connection between the capacitor and the external circuit.

In regards to claim 2, the combined device shows the upper electrode is made of Pt and the metal oxide is made of an oxide of Ti.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Loke whose telephone number is (703) 308-4920. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:50 am to 5:20 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/734,176

Art Unit: 2811

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (703) 308-2772. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

sl August 11, 2002 Steven Loke